

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

Amber Kloha Mansfield,

Case No. 14-11766

Plaintiff,

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
ARTHUR J. TARNOV

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD

Defendant.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION [21]
AND GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [16]; DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [19]; AND REMANDING CASE
TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY COMMISSIONER FOR FURTHER
PROCEEDINGS CONSISTENT WITH THE R&R [21]**

On April 17, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued an R&R [21] recommending that the Court grant in part and deny in part Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [16], deny Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [19], and remand the case to the social security commissioner for further proceedings. Neither party has filed any objection to the R&R [21].

The Court having reviewed the record, the R&R [21] is hereby **ADOPTED** and is entered as the findings and conclusions of the Court.¹ Accordingly,

¹ The Court adopts the R&R [21] save for the last sentence of the Standard of Review section on page twenty-one, which appears to contain a typographical error contradicting the rest of the analysis. The Court adopts the conclusion that the ALJ's result was not supported by substantial evidence, as explained throughout the R&R [21] and as succinctly stated on page thirty-four of the R&R [21].

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [16] is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [19] is **DENIED.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is **REMANDED** to the Social Security Commissioner for further proceedings consistent with the R&R [21].

SO ORDERED.

s/Arthur J. Tarnow
ARTHUR J. TARNOW
SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: May 12, 2015